

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/712,055	AKAHORI, HIROJI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Linh M. Nguyen	2816	.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
5 & 8

Prior art documents discussed:
None

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)


(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A teleconference was initiated by the Examiner for the purpose of clarifying the claim language, specifically claims 5 and 8, to place the application in condition for allowance; however, an office action was requested by the Applicant's representative, Mr. Andrew Telesz, instead.